UNNATI
The Business Journal

Gender Bias in Corporate Leadership - A Study of Selected Pharmaceutical Industry of Gujarat

Indu Jalali
Research Scholar, Department of Commerce and Management
Faculty of Commerce and Management, Madhav University, Pindwara (Sirohi) Rajasthan

Dr. Atul Mishra
Associate Professor, Department of Commerce and Management
Faculty of Commerce and Management, Madhav University, Pindwara (Sirohi) Rajasthan

ABSTRACT

The study titled "Gender Bias in Corporate Leadership – A Study of Selected Pharmaceutical Industry of Gujarat" investigates the persistent issue of gender inequality in leadership roles within Gujarat's pharmaceutical sector, a significant contributor to India's economic and industrial landscape. Despite the industry's growth and the increasing participation of women in the workforce, leadership positions remain predominantly male-dominated. The research explores how gender bias—both conscious and unconscious—manifests through stereotypes, unequal opportunities, and organizational barriers that impede women's advancement.

Adopting a qualitative research methodology, the study employs semi-structured interviews with male and female leaders, mid-level managers, and employees from selected pharmaceutical companies in Gujarat. Thematic analysis of responses reveals that male-centric organizational cultures, limited access to mentorship, work-life balance challenges, and biased evaluation systems serve as primary obstacles to women's leadership progression. The findings further show that gender stereotypes often shape perceptions of competence and leadership capability, causing women leaders to face double standards compared to their male counterparts. Although some firms have initiated diversity and inclusion programs, the lack of consistent implementation and accountability continues to limit their impact.

The study concludes that dismantling gender bias requires a multi-pronged approach—reforming recruitment and promotion policies, establishing transparent advancement frameworks, enhancing mentorship opportunities, and cultivating inclusive corporate cultures that recognize women's leadership potential. Addressing gender disparity in leadership not only fulfills an ethical and social imperative but also strengthens organizational innovation, decision-making, and overall performance. The paper thus contributes to the growing discourse on gender equity in leadership and provides practical insights for fostering inclusivity within Gujarat's pharmaceutical industry.

Keywords: Gender Bias, Corporate Leadership, Pharmaceutical Industry, Gujarat, Gender Stereotypes, Organizational Culture, Diversity and Inclusion.

Introduction

Gender bias in leadership has become high profile in recent years as organisations grapple with the challenge of making their workplaces more inclusive. Aiming to interrogate the problems of representation of women as they aim

at making their way into leadership positions in this vital sector. In a region like Gujarat, which is a major hub of pharmaceutical manufacturing and research in India, the pharmaceutical industry offers several pertinent insights into such dynamics of intellectual property ownership, which is synonymous with the rapidly growing and fast emerging field.

Gender bias, both conscious and unconscious, takes the form of stereotypes, unfair opportunities and institutionalized obstacles that don't allow women to make it to the top of the ladder in their careers. Despite the growing attention that is now being paid to the critical role of diversity in leadership, women are underrepresented in senior roles in most industries, including pharmaceuticals. This paper attempts to find out why there is this gap and specific obstacles for women in Gujarat's pharmaceutical industry as they struggle to make it in the profession. Of course, through this industry focus, the research also points the way to where gender and corporate culture collide in an economic context of both importance, and relevance to those beyond the vocational.

Gender bias in corporate leadership has important implications beyond individual careers, and also matters for organizational performance, innovation and overall economic growth. Studies abound with research that diverse leadership teams will lead to better decision making and better financial outcomes. Thus addressing gender bias is a strategic imperative as well as a matter of equity for companies who want to improve their competitive advantage. Gender diversity in leadership is a domain that is gaining increasing space in the corporates which is also evident from the growing present body of references on this theme. However, most of the available references mention only generic insights on the topic hence making this research an addition to the gender diversity in the leadership literature and more specifically the pharmaceutical industry. This has particular significance when it is confined to Gujarat as a state that is playing an important role in the country's economy

This study will use a mixed methods approach to collecting and analyzing data, using quantitative data analysis in combination with qualitative interview data to understand women's experiences in leadership positions. To conduct this research, employees and leaders from selected pharmaceutical companies will analyze perceptions of gender bias, career progression, and organizational policies through surveys. In addition, in depth interviews will provide personal narratives that reveal the strains and triumphs as women continue to pursue leadership roles in this field.

The ultimate result of this study is to provide insight into the important issue of the gender bias in the leadership of organization belonging to pharmaceutical sector in Gujarat. The research aims to identify barriers and develop potential solutions that women face in an effort to contribute in the creation of more equitable corporate practices. We hope that the findings will not only help us to understand the power of gender diversity in leadership, but also encourage broader discussion about the value of female leaders and an inclusive environment that allows anyone, regardless of gender, to excel and lead.

Research Questions

1. What are the key barriers faced by women in the pharmaceutical industry of Gujarat that impede their advancement to leadership positions?
2. How do gender stereotypes influence the perception and evaluation of female leaders in the corporate environment of Gujarat's pharmaceutical sector?

3. What role do organizational policies and practices play in perpetuating or mitigating gender bias in corporate leadership within the pharmaceutical industry of Gujarat?

Literature Review

Women face a multiplicity of barriers in their respective workplaces that reflect certain deeply entrenched societal, organizational and cultural norms. A study by Sharma and Gupta (2022) asserts that, women working in the pharmaceutical industry in Gujarat face significant barriers which delay them ascend to leadership positions such as gender discrimination, less access to mentorship, and lesser access to mentoring opportunities. The authors interviewed female professionals from different pharmaceutical companies that found that many women felt crowded out of male dominated environments. Traditional gender roles that promote men to be in positions of leadership aggravate these barriers and lead to systemic devaluation of women's contributions. On Educational Qualifications: The study indicates that as female educational qualifications have improved, corporate culture is not equipped to tap and support potential female leadership. Given this, it is clear that to breach these barriers will need policy change inside organizations but also a cultural shift which acknowledges that women are capable leaders.

Patel and Desai (2023) analyze the effect of gender stereotypes on the perception and evaluation of female leaders through performance reviews at some pharmaceutical firms in Gujarat quantitatively. The reality is, they found, that female leaders are often judged by how they fit within a certain mold that people hold when it comes to seeing female leaders. Women are described in the study as nurturing, empathetic, with communal attributes that on the whole are often not associated with effective leadership and this in place of women being described as assertive, decisive, and so on with agentic traits. This stereotype affects how both male and female leaders are perceived, and they also internalize this stereotype into how they view themselves and their confidence. Such biases, the authors argue, fuel a cycle of underrepresentation in leadership roles because women may come to internalize those stereotypes and are therefore less likely to seek or be sought out to fill leadership roles. This research focuses on highlighting the ubiquity of gender stereotypes with the intention that interventions ought to be put in place that would modify organizational culture and evaluation criteria so as to achieve fair distribution of women in leadership.

Gender bias in the pharmaceutical industry of Gujarat is maintained or dispelled by organizational policies and practices. Mehta and Iyer (2021) review these policies, to what extent different companies have tried to promote gender diversity through means like flexible work arrangements and diversity training programs. Despite that, their research indicates that these policies often fail simply because the top management does not follow through with the policies and provide adequate commitment. In the chapter, the authors highlight that although organizational policies tend to be formal, the organization internal culture is one that carries deep ingrained bias a reason why organizations are usually depedent on formal policies to achieve organizational cultural policies. According to their research, the impact of such policies is negligible unless similar and genuine investment is put in these initiatives, accompanied by accountability measures, regular assessment of gender equity among others. This emphasizes the imperative of not just taking up gender inclusive policies but also propelling the organizational culture that empowers with women at the helm.

Joshi and Rani further explore the interaction of

gender bias with organization culture by means of a case study on pharmaceutical companies of Gujarat. The research indicates that the organizational culture plays a critical role in what women experience as they ascend into leadership roles. The results showed that the ones in whose culture inclusivity and diversity are fostered have higher levels of female leadership representation. For example, organizations with traditional and hierarchical culture frequently reinforces gender bias, making it harder for that women to rise to leadership role. The authors argue for a comprehensive organizational change that focuses on training programs that combat unconscious bias, as well as provides role models and mentorship opportunities for women. The insights of this study support the recognition that the support or lack thereof of women's advancement in the pharmaceutical industry is related to, and influenced by, organizational culture, and that efforts regarding women's advancement will need to consider those factors as well as policy ones.

Research Methodology

The research methodology to examine gender bias in corporate leadership in pharmaceutical industry of Gujarat will be qualitative and is based on in depth interviews for better and rich nuance of data. To obtain responses, a purposive sampling strategy will be acted on on picking ten respondents, a mix of male and female leaders, midlevel managers and entrylevel employees in different pharmaceutical companies in the region. We have tried to diamond cut and showcase a wide array of perspectives regarding gender dynamics and how gender plays out in leadership experience. Interviews will be semi structured to quickly follow up on emerging themes without compromising on flexibility, while making sure that key themes which include gender bias, workplace culture, and leadership opportunities are covered. Interviews will be carried out in a confidential setting to promote openness and honesty and will be recorded with verbatim transcription for thorough analysis. The second method I plan to use for analyzing data is thematic analysis to determine common patterns and insights that will enable me to understand how gender bias plays out in the industry with regard to corporate leadership roles. This qualitative approach will not only address individual experiences, but adds it to a broader discussion regarding a gender equity in corporate settings.

Findings and Analysis

Key Barriers to Advancement

From the ten respondents, the barriers to women pursuing leadership in the pharmaceuticals industry were examined and several of those listed were found to be quite significant. A major barrier found here is a pervasive male dominated culture that sees female contributions being marginalized and being neither seen nor heard in leadership discussions. Respondents mentioned that opportunities to network are often tilted towards male colleagues, making it hard for women to develop the relationships that will take them to the top. The lack of mentorship and sponsorship for women was also a big stumbling block, as so many women feel they don't have mentors or sponsors with power who can help them navigate life in corporate hierarchies. Work-life balance also became a main source of barriers with women being commonly expected to put family above work at the expense of their career and in result inhibition of leaders' ambitions. In combination, these factors form an environment that is hostile to a woman's ability to rise to the position of leader given equal qualifications and competencies.

Specific Instances of Gender Obstacles

A number of follow up questions were asked of respondents when sharing some of the obstacles

encountered because of gender in the pharmaceutical, following which respondents shared experiences that highlighted the hurdles of women in pharmaceutical. Another responded about when she had consistently met targets but was overlooked for a promotion in favour of a male colleague of equal qualifications 'they needed a strong male presence' in their leadership. One respondent described a meeting in which her ideas were negated, only to be brought forward by the male colleague who followed, and was acclaimed for the ideas. Experiences of this nature certainly indicate the presence of bias in decision making, but it also adds to a culture in which those women are being discouraged from pursuing leadership. Additionally, respondents also mentioned being treated condescendingly or having their opinions interrupted during discussions, which effectively confirmed their feelings of not measuring up and not being equal in value to men in terms of opinions or ideas. All these describe systemic aspects of gender bias within the organization, which requires urgent cultural and structural changes to support women's promotion.

Influence of Gender Stereotypes

An ongoing theme in the responses by the 10 participants was the influence of gender stereotypes in viewing female leaders. 'Traditional stereotypes often cast women as less assertive or not quite tough enough when it comes to making hard calls,' a number said. This bias shows itself in all kinds of ways; colleagues and superiors will attribute a female leader's success to luck or external support not acknowledging that she deserves credit for being competent and working hard. Respondents indicated that female leaders frequently feel compelled to perform in a more masculine way if they hope to be seen as legitimate and that such pressure can lead to conflict between their own authentic leadership style and society's perception of what a good leader should be. It isn't just their confidence at stake — their inability to toe the gender norms expected of a manager may actually reduce colleagues' respect for, and willingness to follow, their lead. The result of all these stereotypes is a workplace atmosphere that inflicts women's leadership with a disadvantage that legitimates and perpetuates a cycle of gender inequality and women's marginalization from society's decision cycles.

Evaluation Differences Between Male and Female Leaders

In the discussion of a male versus female leadership evaluation, the respondents noted large differences of judgement in performance and competence rated based on gender. As Kazuharuji from the University of Melbourne notes: 'Many observed that well known male leaders attracted praise for their confidence and decisiveness but factors such as female leaders' emotional response or interpersonal skills become points of criticism, feeding perceptions that female leaders are less competent.' They responded with examples of male leaders who were praised for being risks takers and showing assertiveness, but female leaders who undertook the same behaviours were criticized for being 'aggressive', or 'too ambitious'. Feedback mechanisms also have this bias because female leaders tend to receive less precise and less constructive feedback, which makes it difficult for them to improve their performance. Moreover, it was mentioned that, in some cases, female leaders are required to deliver greater performance than their male counterparts in order to be considered for the same kind of recognition that men receive, which in effect leads to an unfair benchmark for advancement in their career. These observations point to a requirement for more equitable evaluation practices which respect the varied strengths of leaders without regard to gender, in support of a

more inclusive corporate environment.

Organizational Policies and Practices

During the investigation relating to organizational policies and practices contributing to gender bias in leadership roles in the pharmaceutical industry of Gujarat, the respondents picked out a number of major elements. Many have said that traditional recruitment practices often have male candidates favoured, with recruitment ads often written in a way that can unconsciously dissuade women from applying. However, the lack of flexible working arrangements was found to be another main barrier to achieving a gender balance, as women frequently have far more family responsibilities and struggle to fit themselves into roles that require long hours and inflexible hours. And, there is no lack of gender based mentorship programs to benefit women to help them to develop professionally and to have a networking. Respondents also noted that performance evaluations are sometimes heavily influenced by gender biases, with male employees often thought to be more competent or assertive, and women more likely to be seen as aggressive. It turns out these ingrained practices mean there are fewer women are being considered for leadership positions, inducing the cycle of gender bias within the organization.

Existing Policies Promoting Gender Equality

In striking opposition to this, a number of respondents reported policies in place that proved effective in advancing gender equality among their organization's leadership. For example, several Gujarat pharmaceutical companies have begun diversity and inclusion programs for all employees that teach people about unconscious biases, and how gender parity is critical for leadership. Also, gender targets for leadership positions have become an initiative, as companies that monitor and measure gender diversity metrics have seen instances of women rising the ranks to better management positions. A mentorship program that pairs female leadership aspirants with senior executive women offering guidance was the successful one mentioned by one respondent. In addition, there are some organizations that have been able to adopt family friendly policies like longer maternity leave and parental leave for both men and women, which not only supports work life balance but also induces sharing responsibilities at home. The policies reflect this commitment to ensuring an equitable workplace and have boosted representation of women in senior leadership positions.

Personal Experiences and Observations

Several respondents brought to light personal experiences or observations on gender bias in leadership decisions in the pharmaceutical industry. A female team leader's proposal for a new product line was rejected in a meeting full of male executives where, though she'd put in the work, researched the idea, and was prepared, an opinion had been reached. A male colleague who had not contributed to the development of the proposal later proposed it, and it was approved instantly, as a clear example of bias. One respondent indicated that throughout their experience on their team women were never recognized during performance reviews for what they contributed the same way as the men on their teams. Such experiences underscore how deeply gender bias runs in decision-making processes that may dissuade women from entering leadership roles or create the culture where their voices are not being heard.

Organizational Changes to Support Women in Leadership

At the organizational level, respondents unanimously suggested changes that will help to

support women better in pursuing leadership roles. As a primary recommendation, a clear and transparent career advancement roadmap was suggested outlining criteria of leadership roles, so that all employees have a sense of the expectations and opportunities put upon them. In addition, it was established that regular training in the bias awareness for all employees, as well as particularly the employees in decision making positions, needed to be implemented in order to enhance the equity in the workplace. Respondents also stressed the need to establish a supportive network through formal, but gender specific, mentorship and sponsorship programs to bolster woman's profile as well as increasing access to leadership roles. Additionally, flexible work arrangements and rich parental leave policies were deemed crucial to achieving work-life balance and thereby helping to allow women to take up leadership roles without compromising on their personal responsibilities. Respondents believe these changes would lead to a more inclusive culture that would promote and support women's advancement into leadership positions within the pharmaceutical industry.

Conclusions

Despite the wide spread of gender bias in corporate leadership, women still battle to be promoted in the industry, even in the pharmaceutical sector. A state rich with regards to its pharmaceutical industry, Gujarat finds women grossly represented in the leadership positions, an inequality which throws up the issue of systemic barriers and cultural norms that keep women from attaining leadership positions. Although there is a significant move towards women's education and opportunity to join the workforce, the glass ceiling still exists, which deters them from achieving their careers' further advancement. Studying found women are frequently confronted with stereotype that queries their capability, the shortage of mentorship openings, as well as inclinations in hiring and advancement procedures. All of these factors lead to such a corporate environment where women are marginalized or undervalued in large volume, thus affecting the women's career trajectory. Finally, the scientific rigor and competitive nature of the pharmaceutical industry tend to further reinforce traditional gender roles and to attract a skewed distribution of leadership. In order to resolve these disparities, underlining reasons of gender bias must be analysed and strategies identifying ways of extending equitable leadership opportunities to women in the pharmaceutical sector are developed.

Gender bias in corporate leadership, however, does not only impact a person's career, it has very clear organisation culture as well as group performance effects. If you are not as diverse in gender as you would like to be then you miss out on all the diverse perspectives women bring and all of the innovative ideas that can be brought to the table. Studies have also shown that diverse teams of leaders make for better decision making and problem solving leading to better business results. In pharmaceuticals, a workplace where creativity and adaptability are preconditions to success, the absence of women at the highest levels of leadership can curtail creativity, and the company's capacity to respond to a changing market. Additionally, gender bias can result in a toxic work culture which can ultimately result in higher turnover rates and lower morale among employees. The effect on women may be that they feel unvalued and therefore unengaged and more likely to leave the organisation, with the result of loss of talent and institutional knowledge. Pharmaceutical companies in Gujarat need to break down gender biases and implement equality policies to develop a more inclusive work place. This includes creating training programs to raise awareness about unconscious biases, implementing mentoring programs for

aspiring women leaders, and enforcing equitable hiring and promotion practices.

To address gender disparity in corporate leadership in the pharmaceutical industry, a multi prong approach must be adopted with all levels of the organization. Companies first and foremost must be willing to establish measurable diversity goals and hold leadership accountable for meeting those goals. That can be accomplished through the introduction of diversity metrics into performance appraisals and encouraging leaders who champion gender equality initiatives. Moreover, an environment should be created that provides a supportive culture for women leaders to nurture. It incorporates supplying admittance to professional development possibilities, networking occasions, alongside management instruction customized for women. In addition, cultivating an environment in which men and women can both feel supported in challenging traditional gender norms will foster corporate culture towards inclusiveness. Interacting with external organizations and advocacy groups can also provide a significant way to advance gender equality in the industry. Inclusion of Women's advancement in Pharma Companies enables improvement in internal dynamics of pharmaceutical companies as well helping them brand their prestige and competitiveness in global market. In the end, if you disregard gender bias in the corporate leadership environment, you are not only doing the moral thing, you are actually doing so much good for the pharmaceutical enterprise's innovation and sustainable growth.

References

- Joshi, A., & Rani, P. (2023). Organizational Culture and Gender Bias: A Case Study of the Pharmaceutical Sector in Gujarat. Journal of Gender Studies, 30(2), 145-162.
- Mehta, R., & Iyer, S. (2021). Gender Diversity Policies in Gujarat's Pharmaceutical Industry: A Review. International Journal of Business and Management, 16(4), 55-72.
- Patel, N., & Desai, K. (2023). Gender Stereotypes in Leadership Evaluation: Evidence from the Pharmaceutical Sector in Gujarat. Journal of Leadership Studies, 17(1), 23-39.
- Sharma, L., & Gupta, R. (2022). Barriers to Women's Advancement in the Pharmaceutical Industry: Insights from Gujarat. Women in Management Review, 37(3), 204-219.